

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradentark Office Address, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.urping.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/834,074	04/12/2001	Gary W. Grube	276440-12	2750
7590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER		
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 So. Hope Street			ABRAMS, NEIL	
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/834,074	GRUBE, GARY W.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Neil Abrams	2839
Period for Reply	_	·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edneshood of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for regly specified above a lise state mitry (30) says, a regly of the state of the	18(a). In no event, however, may a repty be lim- within the statutory minimum of Ihirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET date of this communication, even if timely filed	tely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133),
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _//-	-3-03	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	ice except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		466
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Set 1€ To 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. The 6€ 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to . Set 1€ 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	tter	-27, 39-46, 48-66 {, 89 ,86-89, 95-103 -99
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	thave been received. thave been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) ts enter the specification or visional application has been receive to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National Stage d.) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawling Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/834,074

Art Unit: 2839

Claim 95 is objected to, line 6 "member means" is incorrect.

Claims 48-66, 95-99 and 101 arc rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. These claims arc based on new matter not disclosed in the application as filed.

See last office action for claim 48 objections. Basically "reverse wiped" is disclosed in the spec to refer to back and forth wiping as the component is pressed downwardly onto the contact as in the figs. 4A, B, C embodiment. Claim 48 is directed to fig. 5A structure and for this embodiment, wiping only occurs in a "single direction" as the component is pressed on the terminal. Therefore no disclosed embodiment supports the claim 48 limitations. Applicants arguments on page 15 are not persuasive. The figs. 3, 4 description does not provide adequate disclosure pertinent to fig. 5A and claim 48. With member 220 and tip 230 located as shown in fig. 5A, "reverse wiping" as the terms are used herein does not seem to take place.

For claim 95, terms "means for reducing a bending stress on said beam" lack basis in disclosure as filed or even in present spec and just what is referred to is unclear. If the terms are to be added to the spec the addition must include disclosure of just how the "stress reduction" is brought about in terms of pertinent figures 8-10.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,074

Art Unit: 2839

Claims 95-99 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Just what feature, claim 95, line 5 "means for reducing a bending stress" refers to unclear, therefore the metes and bounds of the claimed invention is not reasonably ascertainable.

Claims 86, 87 and 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzgerald.

Fitzgerald discloses a system, fig. 4, with substrate 171, beam 180, post 181 and adjustable motor device 186 that may be expanded upwardly to raise contact 180. In each position, the motor 186 forms a "stop" for the contact. Fitzgerald does not disclose the connector to be microelectronic type. However, such terms only refer to size of the contact system. It would have been obvious to miniaturize the Fitzgerald contact if to be used with very small components. Claim 87 relates to method step, not to structure of the device. For claim 89, use of two column would be obvious duplication producing no new result.

Claims 95, 96, 98, 99, 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzgerald.

Fitzgerald device, as described above includes means 186 readable as "reducing bending stress" on beam 180, as the concept is best understood. Claims 96, 98, 99 treated as discussed in above rejections.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,074

Art Unit: 2839

Claim 97 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzgerald in view of Suzuki

Fitzgerald system does not include fluid pressure means. Suzuki uses such members to urge contacts. Obvious to use such a member in place of Fitzgerald part 186 as substitution of one equivalent for another.

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment and pertinent to above rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See above discussions.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: For claim 78, applicants arguments on page 17, lines 7-14 are persuasive of unobviousness.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claims 1-5, 7-12, 14, 15, 17-27, 39-46, 65-78, 83, 100, 102, 103 are allowed.

Claim 88 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/834,074 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to N. Abrams at

telephone number 308-1729.

Abrams/ek

11/17/03

ART UNIT 322